Region 6 - San Jacinto Regional Flood Planning Group
October 13, 2022
9:00 AM
Hybrid Meeting
Item 1: Call to Order
Item 2: Welcome and Roll Call
Item 3: Registered Public Comments on Agenda Items (3 minutes limit per person)
Item 4: Texas Water Development Board Update
Item 5:
Approval of minutes
- September 8, 2022
### Meeting Minutes
Region 6 San Jacinto Regional Flood Planning Group
September 8, 2022 at 9:00 AM

Houston Advanced Research Center: 8801 Gosling Rd., The Woodlands, TX 77381

#### Roll Call

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voting Member</th>
<th>Interest Category</th>
<th>Present (P) / Absent (A) / Alternate Present (*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timothy E. Buscha</td>
<td>Industries (Chair)</td>
<td>X (in-Person)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alla Vinson</td>
<td>Water Districts (Vice Chair)</td>
<td>X (in-Person)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ervin Burden</td>
<td>Counties (Secretary)</td>
<td>X (in-Person)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene Frazier</td>
<td>Public (At-Large member)</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew Barrett</td>
<td>River Authorities (At-Large member)</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elisa Macia Donovan</td>
<td>Agricultural Interests</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connie Fother</td>
<td>Small Business</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul E. Lock</td>
<td>Electric Generating Utilities</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rachel Powers</td>
<td>Environmental Interests</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Costello</td>
<td>Municipalities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denis Green</td>
<td>Flood Districts</td>
<td>*Dr. Tina Peterson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todd Burren</td>
<td>Water Utilities</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Maxwell</td>
<td>Coastal Communities</td>
<td>*Bob Koser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christine Quimtermo</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neil Gaynor</td>
<td>Upper Watershed</td>
<td>*Stephanie Zertuche</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Non-Voting Member

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Voting Member</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Present (P) / Absent (A) / Alternate Present (*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hope Zubek</td>
<td>Texas Parks and Wildlife Department</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michelle Ellis</td>
<td>Texas Division of Emergency Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kristin Lambrecht</td>
<td>Texas Department of Agriculture</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joel Clark</td>
<td>Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karla Freyze Stripling</td>
<td>Texas General Land Office</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megan Ingram</td>
<td>Texas Water Development Board</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melissa Johnston</td>
<td>Texas Commission on Environmental Quality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justin Bower</td>
<td>Houston-Galveston Area Council</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elle Allhouny</td>
<td>Texas Department of Transportation</td>
<td>*Alfred Garcia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Heidt</td>
<td>Port Houston</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Turco</td>
<td>Harris-Galveston Subresidence District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Wade</td>
<td>Region II Regional Water Planning Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sally Raskov</td>
<td>Gulf Coast Protection District</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Stevens</td>
<td>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</td>
<td>*Lisa Mains</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Liaisons from RFPQ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liaisons from RFPQ</th>
<th>Regional Flood Planning Group</th>
<th>Present (P) / Absent (A) / Alternate Present (*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Todd Burren</td>
<td>Trinity Region RFPQ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Costello</td>
<td>Naches Region RFPQ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Turco</td>
<td>Lower Brazos RFPG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unions from Other Entities</td>
<td>Entity</td>
<td>Present/Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Vogler</td>
<td>Lower Gavilan RFGG</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Harris</td>
<td>Trinity Region RFGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liz Hasek</td>
<td>Nahant Region RFGG</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Wade</td>
<td>Region II Regional Water Planning Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technical Consultant Team Members</th>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Present/Absent</th>
<th>Alternate Present (*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cory Stall</td>
<td>Reese and Nichols Inc.</td>
<td>X (In-Person)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maggie Puckett</td>
<td>Reese and Nichols Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayes McKibben</td>
<td>Reese and Nichols Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Moore</td>
<td>Haff Associates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacob Torres</td>
<td>Torres &amp; Associates</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evan Adrian</td>
<td>Torres &amp; Associates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rachel Herr</td>
<td>Haff Associates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marah Naqmoody</td>
<td>Holloway Environmental &amp; Communications</td>
<td>X (In-Person)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Sponsor</th>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Present/Absent</th>
<th>Alternate Present (*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatima Berrida</td>
<td>Harris County Engineering Department</td>
<td>X (In-person)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claudia Garcia</td>
<td>Harris County Engineering Department</td>
<td>X (In-person)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quorum:
Quorum: Yes
Number of voting members or alternates that were present: 13
Number required for quorum per current voting membership of 15: 8

Attendees**::

In Person: Mr. John Graziano (The Lovin' G, LLC), Mr. Jim Canfield (LCWCD#1), Mr. Leonard Vyorov (LCWCD#1), Mr. Keith Bille (Costella, Inc.), James Bronikowski (TWDB)

Remote:
Ashley Poo (FNI) Marcus Stuckett Shane Porter
Brian Edmondson (FNI) Morgan White (FNI) Stephan Gage
Court Heller Peggy Zalmer Susan Chadwick
Craig Miske Romm Zorn (TWDB) Terry Barr

**Meeting attendee names were gathered from those who entered information on the WebEx meeting registration.
All meeting materials were available for the public at: Meetings - San Jacinto Regional Flood Planning [sanjacintofloodplanning.org]
AGENDA ITEM NO. 1: Call to Order
Mr. Buscha called the meeting to order at 9:01 a.m.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 2: Welcome and Roll Call
Ms. Berrios took attendance. A quorum was determined to be present. It was also noted that because Ms. Zetlin was having voice issues, she could not act as a voting member without being on camera display.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 3: Registered Public Comments on Agenda Items (Limit of 3 Minutes Per Person)
Mr. Buscha opened the floor for registered public comments and the members of the public present at the meeting requested to make comments under Agenda item No. 16.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 4: Texas Water Development Board Update
Mr. Buscha yielded the floor to Ms. Ingram from the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) for updates. Ms. Ingram noted that TWDB is currently performing the technical review of the draft Regional Flood Plan. James Bromskowski was present at the meeting and noted an administrative item from the TWDB.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 5: Approval of Meeting Minutes – August 11, 2022
Mr. Buscha opened the floor for comments on the August 11, 2022 meeting minutes. Mr. Barrett offered revisions for consideration. Ms. Wilson moved to approve the minutes, as revised. Mr. Burden seconded. Mr. Buscha called for a vote and stated the motion passed to approve the August 11 meeting minutes.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 6: Announcement of New Alternate Members and New Non-Voting Members
Ms. Berrios stated there were no new announcements.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 7: Liaison Reports Pertaining to Other Region(s) Progress and Status and other Related Entities:
   a. Trinity Region – Mr. Burren stated that Trinity Region is holding a public input meeting on September 8 at 6 p.m.
   b. Neches Region – Mr. Costello was not available to provide an update.
   c. Lower Brazos Region – Mr. Vogler provided an update that the Lower Brazos Region is aligned with the other regions.
   d. Region H Water – Mr. Turco was not available to provide an update.
   e. Gulf Coast Protection District (GCPC) – Ms. Bakko provided minor updates regarding the differences between the house and Senate bills related to, and the timing for applying for funding of the Texas Coastal Study. The GCPC executives are going to be traveling to Washington DC for further discussion.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 8: Presentation by GLO Combined River Basin Flood Study Central Region Team – Study Update and Potential Future Leveraging Opportunities
Mr. Buscha turned the floor over to Ms. White with Freeze & Nichols, Inc., from the Central Region team of the General Land Office (GLO) River Basin Flood Study. Ms. White provided an overview, status, and potential future leveraging opportunities related to the regional Flood Study being performed. Ms. White offered to return at the start of the second Region 6 planning cycle, after July 2023, to coordinate ways to work together and transfer data. Discussion ensued. The group was directed to the GLO website for further information. Ms. Bakko provided an update on agenda item No. 7e.
AGENDA ITEM NO. 9: Presentation and Update from the Technical Consultant on the Development of the Regional Flood Plan

Ms. Puckett provided an update on the draft Regional Flood Plan public comment period. Ms. Puckett announced the name of the SJIFPG Facebook page: San Jacinto Flood Planning, for the group’s reference. Ms. Puckett reviewed upcoming efforts for collecting public input on the draft Regional Flood Plan and spoke about comments received thus far. Ms. Puckett updated the group regarding amending the Regional Flood Plan and about outreach to project sponsors. Ms. Puckett requested points of contact from the RFPG for any communities or groups that have contacted them for outreach. In reviewing Task 12 regarding the amended Regional Flood Plan, Ms. Puckett went over the considerations for FME prioritization. Ms. Puckett presented a draft list of prioritizations ranking criteria for FMEs. Discussion ensued. After hearing interest, Ms. Puckett offered the opportunity for the RFPG to see and comment on an updated version of the prioritization framework after revisions are incorporated.

Ms. Puckett presented the schedule and upcoming milestones of the Regional Flood Plan process noting the possibility of skipping upcoming monthly meetings to allow the consultant to focus on amending the Regional Flood Plan. Discussion ensued.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 10: Update and Recommendation(s) from the Technical Committee; Discussion, and Possible Action from the RFPG as it Pertains to Prioritization Framework for Selecting FMEs under Task 12

Ms. Buscha yielded the floor to the Technical Committee for discussion on the prioritization framework and no comments from the committee were provided. Ms. Puckett reviewed key points from the most recent Technical Committee meeting and directed the voting members to participate in a short Mentimeter survey for FME prioritization. The survey and discussion ensued.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 11: Approval and Certification of Administrative Expenses Incurred by the Project Sponsor for The Development of Regional Flood Plan

Mr. Buscha confirmed the Project Sponsor’s administrative expenses. Ms. Vinson moved to approve the Project Sponsor expenses and Ms. Powers seconded. A vote was taken and Mr. Buscha stated the motion was passed to approve the Project Sponsor administrative expenses.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 12: Presentation of 2022 Planning Group Key Dates and Deadlines:

- Upcoming Planning Schedule Milestones
- Next SJIFPG Planning Meeting to be held on October 13, 2022

Mr. Buscha reviewed the upcoming schedule as outlined in the meeting materials. Mr. Buscha reminded the group regarding the upcoming public input meetings for the draft Regional Flood Plan.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 13: Update and Discussion Pertaining to In-Person RFPG Meeting Location(s)

Mr. Buscha stated that the monthly meetings would continue to be at HAFC through the end of the year. Mr. Buscha stated the Project Sponsor would work with HAFC as a potential meeting location in 2023.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 14: Reminder Regarding Planning Group Member Training on Public Information Act and Open Meetings Act

Mr. Buscha reminded the group that anyone who hasn’t completed the training needs to do so and to submit records to the Project Sponsor.
AGENDA ITEM NO. 15: Consider Agenda Items for Next Meeting
Mr. Buscha identified the following items for the next agenda:

- Update from the Public Engagement Committee regarding the public input meetings. Mr. Fisseler requested a general report regarding attendance at the other Flood Planning Group meetings.
- Mr. Buscha mentioned that possible action would be needed in the next RFPG meeting regarding the Flood Districts voting member position.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 16: Public Comments – Limit 3 Minutes Per Person
Mr. Buscha opened the floor to public comments. Mr. Vural offered comments regarding retention ponds in Kingwood and funding for potential projects to acquire property for the ponds. Mr. Bower offered comments regarding GLO funds. Mr. Graziano stated suggestions for Ms. Bakko. No additional public comments were made.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 17: Adjournment
Mr. Buscha announced the meeting was adjourned at 10:58 a.m.

Erwin Burden, Secretary

Timothy Buscha, Chair
Item 6: Announcement of new Alternate Members and new Non-Voting Members
Item 7:
Liaison Reports pertaining to other region(s) progress and status and other Related Entities:
  a. Trinity Region
  b. Neches Region
  c. Lower Brazos Region
  d. Region H Water
  e. Gulf Coast Protection District
Item 8: Update from the Project Sponsor and/or Executive Committee on the solicitation process for the Flood Districts Voting Member vacancy
Item 9: Presentation and Update from the Technical Consultant on the development of the Regional Flood Plan; discussion, and possible action from the RFPG as it pertains to the prioritization framework for FMEs under Task 12
Technical Consultant Update

October 13, 2022
Agenda

• Public Comment Period on the Draft RFP
  • Updates on Solicitation Efforts and Comment Received
  • Approval of approach to reviewing and drafting responses to comments

• Amending the First RFP
  • Outreach to Sponsors
  • Approval of prioritization framework and ranked list of FMEs

• Project Schedule through the Amended Plan
Public Review – Recent Efforts

- Email blasts sent throughout month of September
- Open-houses held 9/27 & 9/29
- Follow-up with attendees to request formal comments
- File upload option added to comment survey form

Submit Comments on the DRAFT Regional Flood Plan

Please note: The comment period closes on October 29, 2022.

First name*

Last name*

City*

County*

Email*

Comment on the DRAFT Regional Flood Plan

File upload

[Choose Files] No file chosen
Public Review – Ongoing Efforts

- Direct Outreach to Sponsors
- Update website to incorporate public meeting materials; Interactive Dashboard
- Update website to include first-cycle storymap
  - Improve accessibility of flood plan content
  - Help to onboard future RFPG members
  - Familiarize members of the public new to flood planning
Comments Received on the Draft Plan

Common themes:
- Concerns about readability of flood plan
- Concerns expressed about underrepresented areas of the region
- Questions about who qualifies as a Sponsor
- Questions about FMX prioritization
- Updates to Chapter 8 recommendations to the state

Next steps:
- Review comments and draft responses
- Approve responses at November RFPG meeting
Facilitating Review of Comments

Approaches:

1. Live Sharepoint
   Concerns w/ OMA

2. Static Excel Spreadsheet
   May lose comment details
   Easily organized

3. File Transfer of Comment Submissions
   Retain all comment details
   More difficult to organize; multiple formats

Developing Responses to Comments

Approaches:

1. Committee to review and approve responses for recommendation at November RFPG meeting

2. RFPG to review offline and provide comments to be addressed ahead of November RFPG meeting
Amended Plan – Outreach to Sponsors

• Coordinating directly with sponsors regarding comments on the Draft Plan as well as the inclusion of additional FMXs

• Coordination with sponsors that has occurred or is scheduled:
  • City of Friendswood
  • City of Houston
  • Montgomery County MUDs 83 & 84
  • City of Bellaire
  • Harris County
  • Harris County Flood Control District
  • City of Webster
  • City of Pasadena
  • City of Dickinson
  • City of League City
  • City of Baytown
  • Liberty County WCID #1
## DRAFT Prioritization Ranking of FMEs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommended Criteria</th>
<th>Priority Ranking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low Priority (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Effort</td>
<td>Less than $30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model/Data Availability</td>
<td>No model/project data available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known Flood Risk</td>
<td>Low known flood risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Entities Benefitted</td>
<td>1 - 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Facilities at risk</td>
<td>Less than Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structures at risk</td>
<td>Less than Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature-Based Solutions</td>
<td>Does not include nature-based solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Vulnerability Index (SVI)</td>
<td>Less than 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>Less than Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population at Risk</td>
<td>Less than Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique Sponsor</td>
<td>FME not identified as the priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subwatershed Priority (HUC10)</td>
<td>FME not highest scoring</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** If sponsor concurrence is not received, FME may not be considered.
### Recommended Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommended Criteria</th>
<th>Weighting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population at Risk</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known Flood Risk</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structures at Risk</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Facilities at risk</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model/Data Availability</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subwatershed Priority (HUC10)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Vulnerability Index (SVI)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Effort</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature-Based Solutions</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Entities Benefitted</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique Sponsor</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RFPG Menti Results: Most Important Selection Criteria

1. Population at Risk
2. Known Flood Risk
3. Structures at Risk
4. Critical Facilities at risk
5. Model/Data Availability
6. Subwatershed Priority (HUC10)
7. Social Vulnerability Index (SVI)
8. Mobility
9. Level of Effort
10. Nature-Based Solutions
11. Number of Entities Benefitted
12. Unique Sponsor
Amended Plan – Task 12

• Distributed results of a **DRAFT** Prioritization Framework to the RFPG on 10/3

• Comments received for consideration:
  • Sponsors have indicated intent to elevate FMEs on their own
  • Large FME study areas may need additional refinement
  • Phased projects may be incorporated as a single FMP and therefore only require development of 1 BCR
DRAFT Prioritization Ranking of FMEs

- Ranked list of FMEs provided as supplemental materials.
- Review of ranked FME list and discussion of comments or concerns.

RFPG to take action to approve the prioritization framework and authorize the Technical Consultant to proceed with executing FMEs in order of prioritization, pending receiving sponsor concurrence, until Task 12 funds are exhausted.

Vote on Prioritization and Ranked FME List
Item 10:
Update from the Technical Consultant and Public Engagement Committee on the outcome of the September Public Open House style meetings
Public Engagement Meeting Format Recap

Two Meetings
- One In-Person Meetings
- One Virtual Meeting

Format
- Open-house style (in-person and virtual)

Accommodations
- Live Interpretation
- Translated Meeting Notices/Materials
Overview of Comments

- Concerns expressed about the readability of the draft plan
- Concerns expressed about specific locations were not represented in the FMXs.
- Questions about how to get projects into the plan if a Sponsor is not identified
- Questions about who qualifies as a Sponsor
- Questions about the prioritization of FMXs
Highlights

- Conversations with the public were more engaged than during previous meetings
- Intro video created added value and additional context for the project and meeting
- Participants traveled to the public meeting from outside of Harris County
- Total of 36 participants
Future Public Engagement Ideas

Important Considerations

• No required public engagement activities per the TWDB scope of work until the second cycle
• Limited scope and budget

Opportunities

• Greater flexibility in engagement format and purpose
• Target specific communities or stakeholder groups
Item 11:
Approval and Certification of Administrative Expenses incurred by the Project Sponsor for the development of Regional Flood Plan
# Administrative Expenses Incurred by Project Sponsor for 8/13/2022 – 9/23/2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Hours Worked</th>
<th>Total Salary</th>
<th>Social Security</th>
<th>Group Insurance</th>
<th>Workers Comp</th>
<th>Unemployment Ins</th>
<th>Retirement</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F. Berrios</td>
<td>8/13/2022</td>
<td>8/26/2022</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Berrios</td>
<td>8/27/2022</td>
<td>9/9/2022</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>208.86</td>
<td>15.98</td>
<td>47.28</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>32.79</td>
<td>306.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Berrios</td>
<td>9/10/2022</td>
<td>9/23/2022</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Garcia</td>
<td>8/13/2022</td>
<td>8/26/2022</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>271.73</td>
<td>20.79</td>
<td>76.83</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>42.66</td>
<td>414.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Garcia</td>
<td>8/27/2022</td>
<td>9/9/2022</td>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>313.54</td>
<td>23.99</td>
<td>88.65</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>49.23</td>
<td>478.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Garcia</td>
<td>9/10/2022</td>
<td>9/23/2022</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>271.73</td>
<td>20.79</td>
<td>76.83</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>42.66</td>
<td>414.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1,065.86</strong></td>
<td><strong>81.55</strong></td>
<td><strong>289.59</strong></td>
<td><strong>9.38</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.06</strong></td>
<td><strong>167.34</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,614.78</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Item 12:
Presentation of 2022 Planning Group Key Dates and Deadlines:
  a. Upcoming Planning Schedule Milestones
  b. Next RFPG Planning Meeting to be held on November 10, 2022
Item 13:
Update and Discussion Pertaining to In-Person RFPG Meeting Location(s)
Item 14: Reminder Regarding Planning Group Member Training on Public Information Act and Open Meetings Act
Item 15: Consider Agenda Items for Next Meeting
Item 16: Public Comments – Limit 3 Minutes per Person
Item 17: Adjournment