Item 1:
Call to Order
Item 2: Welcome and Roll Call
Item 3: Registered Public Comments on Agenda Items
(3 minutes limit per person)
Item 4: Texas Water Development Board Update
Item 5:
Approval of minutes
- May 12, 2022
### Voting Members

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member Name</th>
<th>Interest Category</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Absent</th>
<th>Alternate Present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timothy F. Buschia</td>
<td>Industries (Chair)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice Vinson</td>
<td>Water Districts (Vice Chair)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anna Max</td>
<td>Counties (Secretary)</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Erwin Burden</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Hopper</td>
<td>Public (At-Large member)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew Batters</td>
<td>River Authorities (At-Large member)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Brian Baggett</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elise Made Donovan</td>
<td>Agricultural Interests</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Mary Anne Placek</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connie Pothier</td>
<td>Small Business</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul L. Lock</td>
<td>Electric Generating Utilities</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rachel Powers</td>
<td>Environmental Interests</td>
<td>X</td>
<td><em>Till Bouillion</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Costello</td>
<td>Municipalities</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBA</td>
<td>Flood Districts</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Dena Green</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todd Burris</td>
<td>Water Utilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Maxwell</td>
<td>Coastal Communities</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Bob Kopper</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christine Quintera</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neil Goyan</td>
<td>Upper Watershed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Non-voting Members

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member Name</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Absent</th>
<th>Alternate Present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hope Zubeck</td>
<td>Texas Parks and Wildlife Department</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michelle Gille</td>
<td>Texas Division of Emergency Management</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Merrit Holmes (In-Person)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kristin Lambrecht</td>
<td>Texas Department of Agriculture</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joel Clark</td>
<td>Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karl Freny Stripling</td>
<td>Texas General Land Office</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megan Ingram</td>
<td>Texas Water Development Board</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malinda Johnston</td>
<td>Texas Commission on Environmental Quality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justin Bower</td>
<td>Houston-Balvier Area Council</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellie Aldhousky</td>
<td>Texas Department of Transportation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td><em>Alfred Greer</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Nallott</td>
<td>Port Houston</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Turco</td>
<td>Harris-Bexar Subdistrict</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Wade</td>
<td>Region H Regional Water Planning Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sally Bakko</td>
<td>Gulf Coast Protection District</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Stevens</td>
<td>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Lisa Mairs</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Members from RFPD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member Name</th>
<th>Regional Flood Planning Group</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Absent</th>
<th>Alternate Present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Todd Burris</td>
<td>Trinity Region RFPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Costello</td>
<td>Neches Region RFPD</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Turco</td>
<td>Lower Brazos RFPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liaison from Other Entity</td>
<td>Entity</td>
<td>Present or Absent</td>
<td>Alternate Present</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Loggar</td>
<td>Lower Brazos RFPG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Harris</td>
<td>Trinity Region RFPG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liv Hasselboch</td>
<td>Naches Region RFPG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Wade</td>
<td>Region H Regional Water Planning Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technical Consultant Team Members</th>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Present or Absent</th>
<th>Alternate Present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cory Stull</td>
<td>Fresse and Nichols Inc.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maggie Puckett</td>
<td>Fresse and Nichols Inc.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayes McLinden</td>
<td>Fresse and Nichols Inc.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Moore</td>
<td>Haff Associates</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rachel Harr</td>
<td>Haff Associates</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craig Moskew</td>
<td>Haff Associates</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Nazrzeddin</td>
<td>Hallaway Environmental &amp; Communications</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connor Stelae</td>
<td>Hallaway Environmental &amp; Communications</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacob Torres</td>
<td>Torres &amp; Associates</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evan Adrian</td>
<td>Torres &amp; Associates</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Quorum:**

Quorum: Yes
Number of voting members or alternates that were present: 14
Number required for quorum per current voting membership of 15: 8

**Attendees:**

In Person: Claudia Garcia (HCE), Patima Barros (HCE), Marryl Helmer (TDEM), Dr. Tina Paterson (PCO), George Bankman

Amber Thibodeaux | Jordan Furmans
Call-in User_2 | Marcus Stuckett
Cristann Ayala | Peggy Zahnier
James Bronikowski (TWDB) | Reem Zoun (TWDB)
John Graziano

***Meeting attendee names were gathered from those who entered information on the WebEx meeting registration.
All meeting materials were available for the public at: Meetings – San Joaquin Regional Flood Planning [sanja.in/foolsplanning.org]**
AGENDA ITEM NO. 1: Call to Order
Mr. Busche called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 2: Welcome and Roll Call
In lieu of the Secretary, Ms. Berrios took attendance. A quorum was determined to be present.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 3: Registered Public Comments on Agenda Items (Limit of 3 Minutes Per Person)
Mr. Busche opened the floor for registered public comments. There were none. Mr. Busche asked the in-person attendees for any comments and there were none.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 4: Texas Water Development Board Update
Ms. Ingram reported that the Texas Water Development Board was close to finalizing the informal comments on the March 7 Technical Memorandum.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 5: Approval of Meeting Minutes – April 14, 2022
Mr. Busche opened the floor for comments on the April 14, 2022 meeting minutes. Mr. Borrett suggested minor revisions to the minutes. Ms. Vinson moved to approve the minutes, as revised. Mr. Costello seconded. Mr. Busche asked for a vote and announced the motion carried.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 6: Announcement of New Alternate Members and New Non-Voting Members
Mr. Busche opened the floor to new member announcements and there were none.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 7: Lisbon Reports Pertaining to Other Region(s) Progress and Status:
- Trinity Region: Although not in attendance, Mr. Burrer reported via email to the Chair that the Trinity region was working through their FMA's.
- Neches Region: Mr. Costello stated that the Neches region was working on Task 3A and revising goals. Mr. Costello also noted that they were scheduling public meetings for the month of May.
- Lower Brazos Region: Mr. Turco was not on the call to report.
- Region H Water: Mr. Busche stated he met with Mr. Wade and Mr. Wade reported that Region H Water Planning Group was interested in partnering with the Region H Flood Planning Group and a future meeting between the two groups could be planned. Ms. Vinson stated there is discussion about communication and coordinating between the water group and planning group.
- GCPO: Mr. Bakko announced the US Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works enacted its water resources development legislation regarding the Coastal Texas Study storm surge protection project which will next move to the Senate floor for a vote. Ms. Bakko shared the Region 6 public input meeting details have been shared with the GCPO and Bay Area economic development for distribution.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 8: Update from the Executive Committee, discussion, and possible action regarding the appointment of the Flood Districts Voting Member Position
Mr. Busche stated the Executive Committee met and deliberated the vacancy for the Flood Districts voting member position. Mr. Busche stated the Executive Committee formally recommended Ms. Green. Members of the Executive Committee agreed and stated opinions in favor of Ms. Green becoming the new voting member representing Flood Districts. Mr. Fisler made a motion to approve Ms. Green as the
Flood Districts representative, and Ms. Powers seconded. Mr. Buscha announced the motion carried. Ms. Green acknowledged her new position and thanked the SRFPG.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 9: Discussion, and Possible Action Regarding the Membership of Advisory Committees

a. Technical Committee
Mr. Buscha stated that the Technical Committee did not currently have five (5) members. Mr. Costello, Vice Chair of the Technical Committee, welcomed Ms. Green to volunteer to be on the Technical Committee and she confirmed her interest. Mr. Buscha moved that Ms. Green be a member of the Technical Committee. Mr. Costello seconded. Mr. Buscha announced the motion carried.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 10: Presentation and update from the Technical Consultant on the progress of the regional flood plan and possible action from the SRFPG on Minimum Standards (Task 3A)

Mr. Buscha yielded the floor to the Technical Consultants. Mr. Stull, with Freese and Nichols, Inc., briefly overviewed the meeting talking points including updates on Tasks 7, 8, and 10. Mr. Stull reviewed “recommending” versus “adopting” the minimum standards regarding Task 3A: Floodplain Management. Mr. Cano reviewed the list of identified standards, as previously discussed with the Technical Committee and the SRFPG. Ms. Puckett went into detail of the minimum standards, the definitions, and updates made since the last meeting, per SRFPG comments. Discussion ensued.

Mr. Buscha concluded that the group was agreeable on six of the minimum standards, but that Minimum Standard three (3) and Minimum Standard eight (8) needed to be re-worded. Ms. Vinson requested an oral re-statement of the items in question to be able to vote formally during the meeting.

Ms. Puckett restated Minimum Standards 3 with edits and additional language. No objections were made to the re-statement of Minimum Standard 3. Mr. Buscha restated Minimum Standard 8 and discussion ensued. Ms. Powers made a motion to approve the entire list of minimum standards, as revised, including the restated Minimum Standards 3 and 8 and Mr. Burden seconded. Mr. Buscha called for a vote and announced the motion carried to approve all eight (8) minimum standards. Dr. Gaynor moved to approve “recommendation” of the minimum standards and Mr. Costello seconded the motion. Mr. Buscha called for a vote and announced that the motion carried.

Mr. Buscha called for a recess at 10:54 a.m. and the meeting reconvened at 11:00 a.m.

Mr. Stull reviewed PME's and the information needed for each type. Mr. Stull then reviewed the amount of data collected to date, which is more than 500 PME's. Mr. Stull noted that this first round is intended to be foundational and there would be opportunity to add to the list after the draft was submitted. Discussion ensued.

Ms. Puckett stated that the majority of the list of projects to be approved were Flood Management Evaluations (FMEs). The Technical Consultants requested that the SRFPG review the data on the GIS Dashboard once distributed. Ms. Puckett stated the link would be sent out to the SRFPG and asked the group members to provide their comments by May 25, 2022. Ms. Puckett presented a demonstration of the GIS Dashboard for the SRFPG. Discussion ensued.

Mr. Moore with Haltt Associates covered goals for Task 7: Flood Response Information and Activities. Mr. Moore reviewed the stages and approach of Task 7. Ms. Puckett updated the group on Task 8.
Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations. Ms. Puckett stated that the Technical Committee would further review and approve this task and recommend approval to the SIRPFG.

Mr. Stokes with Hollaway Environmental and Communication Services reviewed the details for the upcoming public input meetings. Discussion ensued regarding coordinating member attendance at the upcoming public input meetings to remain compliant with the Texas Open Meetings Act.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 11: Update from the Public Engagement Committee, discussion, and possible action from the RFPs as it pertains to the approval of the Communications and Outreach Plan, and upcoming Open House Public Engagement Events

Mr. Buscha reiterated the details of the upcoming public input meetings at the end of May and stated that the Project Sponsor would be distributing an attendance roster to avoid quorum issues. Mr. Stokes stated there were revisions to the Communications and Media Engagement Plan, as discussed with the Public Engagement Committee and taken from comments made by SIRPFG members.

1) Media: “If a member is contacted by the media, they should advise the Chair OR Vice Chair, as opposed to potentially both per previous language.”
2) Minor edits
3) Key messaging document to be produced by the Communications Consultant

AGENDA ITEM NO. 12: Approval and Certification of Administrative Expenses Incurred by the Project Sponsor for the Development of Regional Flood Plan

Mr. Buscha stated the Project Sponsor deferred approval of the Administrative Expenses to the following month.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 13: Presentation of 2022 Planning Group Key Dates and Deadlines:

a. Upcoming Planning Schedule Milestones
b. Next SIRPFG Planning Meeting to be held on June 9, 2022

Mr. Buscha stated the schedule was included in the meeting materials. Mr. Buscha announced a proposal for a hybrid (in-person) monthly planning meeting at Houston Advance Research Center (HARC) building in the Woodlands. Discussion ensued regarding possible locations and the willingness of the group to meet in-person.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 14: Update and Discussion Pertaining to In-Person RFP Meeting Location(s)

Mr. Buscha stated that the Project sponsor will coordinate holding the next planning meeting at HARC. Details will be distributed as appropriate.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 15: Reminder Regarding Planning Group Member Training on Public Information Act and Open Meetings Act

Mr. Buscha reminded the group that anyone who hasn’t completed the training needs to do so and submit records to the Project Sponsor.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 16: Consider Agenda Items for Next Meeting

Mr. Buscha identified the following items for the next agenda:

a. Recommendation from the Executive Committee for the Counties voting member position
b. GPO presentation to be postponed to August
AGENDA ITEM NO. 17: Public Comments – Limit 3 Minutes Per Person
Mr. Buscha opened the floor to registered public comments and, none were made.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 18: Adjourn
Mr. Buscha announced the meeting was adjourned at 12:08 p.m.

____________________________
Alisa May, Secretary

____________________________
Timothy Buscha, Chair

For the record - Comments from Chat during the SJRPG May 12, 2022 meeting:

from Lisa Mairs (privately): 9:00 AM
Good morning, I am standing in for Eric Stevens with USACE

from Rachel Powers she/her (privately): 9:02 AM
I missed my roll call but I am here.

from Justin Bower (privately): 9:05 AM
Fatima,
from Justin Bower (privately): 9:05 AM
I am here, was having AV issues

to Rachel Powers she/her (privately): 9:26 AM
noted. Thanks
to Lisa Mairs (privately): 9:26 AM
Noted thanks!
from John G to everyone: 10:17 AM
I plan to send an email on item 8. Thank you, John

from Justin Bower to everyone: 10:28 AM
Just to be clear, I am not recommending that we add restrictions or specific criteria that would limit growth.
I am suggesting that the “encourage to explore” include areas outside the floodplain. As this isn’t a criteria or limit, but a suggestion for entities to identify for themselves appropriate ways to incentivize preservation, I think it’s several steps away from anything that would limit growth/development.

from Mary Anne Pacciniti to everyone: 10:28 AM
I like Justin’s language. Perhaps this is something that could be reviewed by the technical committee when they also come up with additional bullet point for the earlier minimum standard.

from Mary Anne Pacciniti to everyone: 10:30 AM
I would like to see #6 kept the way it is.

from Rachel Powers she/her to everyone: 10:38 AM
Dicto to Mary Anne’s comment
Item 6: Announcement of new Alternate Members and new Non-Voting Members
Item 7: 
Liaison Reports pertaining to other region(s) progress and status:
  a. Trinity Region
  b. Neches Region
  c. Lower Brazos Region
  d. Region H Water
Item 8: Update from the Executive Committee, discussion, and possible action regarding the appointment of the Counties Voting Member Position
Item 9: Discussion, and Possible Action for the appointment of the new Secretary for the SJRFPG
Secretary Duties:

- Serve as officer and on the Executive Committee
- Keep official records, keep attendance, and maintain minutes of all meetings
- Prepare agendas, meeting materials, or any other pertinent information and distribute to the full membership of the RFPG accordingly
- Ensure all notices are properly posted, as required by law, as by the Texas Open Meetings Act, and provide advance notices to the full membership of the RFPG
- Shall perform other duties as assigned by the Chair or bylaws
- If both the Chair and Vice Chair are unable to carry out the duties of the Chair, the Secretary shall assume the duties of the Chair
Item 10:
Update from the Technical Consultant regarding progress of the regional flood plan and:
a. Possible action to recommend identified FMXs
b. Updates on ongoing public engagement and communications efforts
Technical Consultant Update

June 9, 2022
Agenda

• Revised FMXs

• Task 7: Flood Response Information and Activities

• Task 9: Flood Infrastructure Financing

• Public Meetings Recap
FMX Materials

Supporting materials have also been posted to facilitate discussion on FMXs including:

- FMX one-pagers
- Table of FMXs
- GIS Dashboard
Updates to FMX List

- Removed Duplicates
  - Updated recommendations from Task 4A based on studies already in progress
  - Removed individual projects that had been grouped into a single entry to align with BCR or achieve NAI

- Responded to comments from sponsors
  - Deleted requested projects
  - Refined delineations, as appropriate
  - Updated FMX details

- Received Inquiries from potential sponsors to include FMXs in the amended plan
Considerations for FMEs

- FMEs that are most likely to identify potentially feasible FMSs/FMPs
- FMEs that evaluate, at a minimum, the 100-year
- FMEs that support goals adopted by the RFPG
- Overlap between FMEs or ongoing studies
- *FMX sponsorship does not obligate the entity to take action or take financial responsibility* 

![Chart showing 91 Unique FME Sponsors and a Total FME Cost of $230M]
Considerations for FMS/Ps

- FMPs demonstrate flood risk reduction in the 100-year
- FMPs may not negatively impact neighboring areas
- FMPs that contribute to water supply may not result in an overallocation of a water source
- Overlap or redundancy in proposed FMS/Ps
- Focus on FMS/Ps with contributing drainage area greater than 1 square mile
- FMX sponsorship does not obligate the entity to take action or take financial responsibility

42 Unique FMS/P Sponsors

$30+B Total FMS/P Costs
Recommending FMXs

**GOAL:** Vote to Recommend a list of FMEs, FMSs, and FMPs

Approach:

- Determine if there are any actions on the list of identified FMXs that the RFPG should not support
- Encourage the RFPG to favor inclusion of FMXs understanding that *there is no obligation to take action and no financial commitment associated with FMX sponsorship*
Review of Supporting Materials
Task 7: Flood Response Information and Activities

Task Goals:

• Summarize the nature and types of flood response preparations
• Coordinate and communicate to gather information
• No analysis or recommendations for flood response
Task 7: Flood Response Information and Activities

Mitigation

Recovery

Preparedness

Response
Task 7: Flood Response Information and Activities

Preparation

- Tabletop exercises
- Critical Infrastructure identification
- Emergency Action Plans
- Public notifications and education
- Staff training for response and recovery
- Developing public notifications
- Identifying evacuation routes
Task 7: Flood Response Information and Activities

Response

• Reverse 911 and other notifications
• Social media posts and alerts
• Radio stations and Emergency Alert systems
• Emergency Operation Centers
• Forecast tools and centers
• Closing roadways and traffic control
• Providing high water rescues
• Distributing food and first aid
Task 7: Flood Response Information and Activities

Recovery

- Providing information regarding flood insurance
- Coordinating debris removal
- Providing traffic control
- Assisting residents find temporary housing
- Regular communication with disaster victims
- Coordinating with local businesses
- Compiling and conducting damage assessments
Task 7: Flood Response Information and Activities

Agencies

Local
- Cities
- Municipalities
- Counties
- HGAC
- HCFCD
- Drainage District
- Private Dams/Levees

State
- TWDB
- TDEM
- TxDOT
- River Authorities
- Agriculture
- Extensions

Federal
- FEMA
- NOAA
- USACE
- NWS
- USGS
Task 9: Flood Infrastructure Financing Analysis

Task Goal:
- Indicate how sponsors will propose to finance recommended actions
- Describe what role that the RFPG proposes for the state in financing recommended actions

Table 19: FMS, FMP, FME funding survey template format (with illustrative examples)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RFPG Number</th>
<th>Sponsor Entity Name</th>
<th>FMS or FMP or FME</th>
<th>FMS FMP FME - Name</th>
<th>Regional plan's unique FMS/FMP/FME identification number</th>
<th>Target year of full implementation</th>
<th>Estimated costs in plan</th>
<th>Estimated percent (share) of total FMS, FMP, or FME estimated cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sponsor Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ANTICIPATED SOURCE of Sponsor funding (e.g., taxes; general revenue; dedicated revenue incl. fees)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FUNDING TO BE FINANCED BY SPONSOR (including local, county, or regional mechanisms available but not yet fully utilized)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other Funding Needed (including state, federal and/or other funding)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL (auto) sum must = 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>City of Howdy</td>
<td>FMP</td>
<td>Widen main downtown channel</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2028</td>
<td>$3,484,000</td>
<td>$8,139,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Major River Authority</td>
<td>FMP</td>
<td>Levee improvements</td>
<td>3001</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>$37,544,000</td>
<td>$217,754,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TWDB Exhibit C template survey format
Task 9: Flood Infrastructure Financing Analysis

Federal Resources for Grantees: Grant Programs | GRANTS.GOV
• Resource to learn more about available federal grants and funding sources

State Resources: https://texasfloodclearinghouse.org/
• “One-stop-shop” for funding information for Texas communities
Task 9: Flood Infrastructure Financing Analysis

- Many potential federal, state and local sources
- Funding may be in form of grants or low-interest loans
- Some programs are annual, some tied to disasters, some by special appropriation
- Each program has unique priorities and requirements
### Task 9: Flood Infrastructure Financing Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Agency</th>
<th>State Agency</th>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Grant</th>
<th>Loan</th>
<th>Post Disaster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HUD</td>
<td>GLO</td>
<td>CDBG-DR &amp; CDBG-MIT</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES/NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUD</td>
<td>TDA</td>
<td>TxCDBG</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>TWDB</td>
<td>Clean Water State Revolving Fund</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMA</td>
<td>TDEM</td>
<td>HMGP &amp; PA</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMA</td>
<td>TWDB</td>
<td>FMA &amp; FIF</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMA</td>
<td>TDEM</td>
<td>BRIC</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRCS</td>
<td>TSSWCB</td>
<td>Structural Dam Repair Grant Program</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRCS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>WFPO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRCS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>EWP</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES/NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USACE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Continuing Authorities Program</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congress</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Community Project Funding</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Federal Agencies**: HUD, EPA, FEMA, NRCS, USACE, Congress

**State Agencies**: GLO, TDA, TWDB, TDEM, TSSWCB, WFPO, EWP, TBD

**Programs**: CDBG-DR & CDBG-MIT, TxCDBG, Clean Water State Revolving Fund, HMGP & PA, FMA & FIF, BRIC, Structural Dam Repair Grant Program, WFPO, EWP, Community Project Funding
Public Engagement Meeting Recap

Three Meetings
- Two In-Person Meetings
- One Virtual Meeting

Format
- Open-house style (in-person and virtual)

Accommodations
- Live Interpretation
- Translated Meeting Notices/Materials
Open House Web Metrics

Total Open House Site Visits: 369
Total Website Visits: 479
Document Downloads: 111
Average Time Spent on the Open House Site: 2:22
Attendees & Comments

Total Participants: 62
Total Comments: 14*

*This number does not include the comments received during the Virtual Open House
Lessons Learned

- Interaction with the project team and interactive meeting opportunities is preferred
- Expand “About the RFPG” information to provide more context to the public
- Continue to intentionally target multilingual communities
- Identify venues in underserved communities that are easily accessible
Item 11:
Approval and Certification of Administrative Expenses incurred by the Project Sponsor for the development of Regional Flood Plan
### Administrative Expenses Incurred by Project Sponsor for 03/26/2022 – 05/06/2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Hours Worked</th>
<th>Hourly Rate</th>
<th>Total Salary</th>
<th>Social Security</th>
<th>Group Insurance</th>
<th>Workers Comp</th>
<th>Unemployment Insurance</th>
<th>Retirement</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>FY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2022</td>
<td>4/8/2022</td>
<td>10.50</td>
<td>34.81</td>
<td>365.51</td>
<td>27.96</td>
<td>82.74</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>57.39</td>
<td>537.19</td>
<td>FY2022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/9/2022</td>
<td>4/22/2022</td>
<td>9.50</td>
<td>34.81</td>
<td>330.70</td>
<td>25.30</td>
<td>74.86</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>51.92</td>
<td>486.02</td>
<td>FY2022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/23/2022</td>
<td>5/6/2022</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>34.81</td>
<td>156.65</td>
<td>11.98</td>
<td>35.46</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>24.59</td>
<td>230.22</td>
<td>FY2022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2022</td>
<td>4/8/2022</td>
<td>48.75</td>
<td>27.87</td>
<td>1,358.66</td>
<td>103.95</td>
<td>384.14</td>
<td>11.96</td>
<td>213.31</td>
<td>2,073.38</td>
<td>FY2022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/9/2022</td>
<td>4/22/2022</td>
<td>47.24</td>
<td>27.87</td>
<td>1,316.58</td>
<td>100.73</td>
<td>372.24</td>
<td>11.59</td>
<td>206.70</td>
<td>2,009.16</td>
<td>FY2022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/23/2022</td>
<td>5/6/2022</td>
<td>49.58</td>
<td>27.87</td>
<td>1,381.74</td>
<td>105.72</td>
<td>390.68</td>
<td>12.16</td>
<td>216.93</td>
<td>2,108.61</td>
<td>FY2022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>4,910.30</strong></td>
<td><strong>375.64</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,340.12</strong></td>
<td><strong>43.22</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.92</strong></td>
<td><strong>770.84</strong></td>
<td><strong>7,444.58</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Item 12: Presentation of 2022 Planning Group Key Dates and Deadlines:
a. Upcoming Planning Schedule Milestones
b. Next RFPG Planning Meeting to be held on July 14, 2022
Item 13: Update and Discussion Pertaining to In-Person RFPG Meeting Location(s)
Item 14: Reminder Regarding Planning Group Member Training on Public Information Act and Open Meetings Act
Item 15: Consider Agenda Items for Next Meeting
Item 16: Public Comments – Limit 3 Minutes per Person
Item 17: Adjournment